UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,397	02/13/2004	Naoki Shindo	199372003910	2798
	7590 05/11/2010 FOERSTER, LLP	EXAMINER		
555 WEST FIF		MARKOFF, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90013-1024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,397	SHINDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alexander Markoff	1711	

	Alexander Markoff	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this necessary. 		in the final rejection, which	chever is later In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7	116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e:	∢planation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·					
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration. Description: Descript							
of the reasons of the record. The rejection over Kamika amendment, which would not be entered. The rejection perfected the claim for foreign priority by submitting the that the present application claims a foreign priority date not be properly understood because the applicants ther application was filed in Japan on 1/17/2001, while Tosh of the foreign priority of the instant application. A mere to overcome the rejection over the document which was filed.	over Toshima et al is maintained be translation of the priority documents e earlier than the earliest effective describes admitted that the parent for ima et al was filed in the US on 7/28 filing of the certified translation of the	ecause the applicants s. It is noted that the a ate of Toshima. This a eign application of the 8/2000, i.e. clearly early priority documents c	argue that they pplicants stated argument could instant lier than the date				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Alexander Markoff/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1711

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100505

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the independent claims by adding the limitations of claim 32, which they proposed to cancel. The proposed amendment raises new issues at least with repect to dependent claims.